

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,989	09/08/2005	Gabor Ambrus	IDR0242-USA	9043
530 7590 03/27/2008 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG.			EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ	& MENTLIK	COVINGTON, RAYMOND K		
WESTFIELD.	VENUE WEST NJ 07090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/088,989	AMBRUS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
D 10 :	4005		
Raymond Covington	1625		

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raymond Covington	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Edensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If No period for reply is applied above, the macrimum statutory period verification of the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 after to reply within the soil or extended period for reply with by statute. Failure to reply within the soil or extended period for reply with by statute, and the statute of the provision of the prov	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2002 is/arc: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the teleplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority document: 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority accument application from the International Bureau. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/05/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/02.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,989

Art Unit: 4131

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a lack of disclosure which is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Since a biological material is essential to the claimed invention it must be obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification or otherwise be readily available to the public. If the biological material is not so obtainable or available, the requirements of 35 USC 112 may be satisfied by a deposit of the biological material. The specification does not disclose a repeatable process to obtain the biological material and it is not apparent if the biological material is readily available to the public. It is noted that applicant has deposited the biological material but there is no indication in the specification as to public availability. If the deposit is made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by applicant or an attorney of record stating that the specific biological material has been deposited under the Budapest Treaty and that

Application/Control Number: 10/088,989

Art Unit: 4131

the biological material will be irrevocably and without restriction or condition released to the public upon issuance of a patent would satisfy the deposit requirement made herein.

If the deposit has not been made under the Budapest Treaty, then in order to certify that the deposit meets the criteria set forth in 37 CFR 1.801-1.809, applicant or an attorney of record must provide assurance of compliance by an affidavit or declaration showing:

- (a) during the pendency of this application, access to the biological material (invention) will be afforded to the Commissioner upon request;
- (b) all restrictions upon availability to the public will $\bar{b}e$ irrevocably removed upon granting of the patent;
- (c) the deposited biological material will be maintained in a public depository for a period of 30 years or 5 years after the last request or for the effective life to the patent whichever is longer; and,
- (d) the deposited biological material will be replaced if it should ever become inviable.

Claims 3-5 are rejected as being dependent on a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Wright, Chem. Abs. 49:78535 (1955) best available.

Wright teaches isobenzofuranyl hexenoic acid compounds corresponding to applicant's formula (I). See compound RN 24280-93-1.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres at telephone number (571) 272-0867.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/R. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 1625 RKC /JANET L ANDRES/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1625